

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE)
COMPANY AND BULLSEYE)
TELECOM, INC.,)
Petitioner,)
) No. 12-0617
)
Joint petition for)
approval of 5th Amendment)
to the interconnection)
agreement dated November)
12, 2012, pursuant to 47)
U.S.C. 252.)

Chicago, Illinois
January 8, 2013

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 11:12 a.m.,
in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

BEFORE:

Ms. Katina Baker, Administrative Law Judge

1 APPEARANCES:

2 AT&T ILLINOIS,
(225 West Randolph Street, 25D,
3 Chicago, Illinois 60606,
(312) 727-1444), by:
4 MR. JAMES A. HUTTENHOWER,
Senior Attorney, State Regulatory,
5 jh7452@att.com,
for the Petitioner,

6
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,
7 (160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,
8 (312) 793-2877),
MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY,
9 mharvey@icc.illinois.gov,
for the Staff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge
---------	----	----	-----	-----	----------

None.

S T A F F E X H I B I T S

NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID	IN EVIDENCE
--------	---------------	-------------

1	5	5
---	---	---

1 JUDGE BAKER: Pursuant to the -- pursuant to
2 the authority of the Illinois Commerce Commission, I
3 now call Docket 12-0617, Illinois Bell Telephone
4 Company and Bullseye Telecom, Inc. This is a joint
5 petition for approval of 5th Amendment to the
6 interconnection agreement dated November 12, 2012.

7 Could the parties please state their
8 name for the record?

9 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower appearing
10 on behalf of Illinois Bell Telephone, 225 West
11 Randolph Street, Suite 25D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

12 MR. HARVEY: Appearing for the staff of the
13 Illinois Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160
14 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, 60601.

15 JUDGE BAKER: Counsel, have you had an
16 opportunity to review the amendment?

17 MR. HARVEY: Yes, your Honor. Mr. A. Olusanjo
18 Omoniyi of the staff has reviewed the 5th Amendment
19 to the interconnection agreement. Based upon his
20 review, he offers the opinion that the 5th Amendment
21 neither discriminates against any carrier that is not
22 a party to it, nor does it -- is it contrary to the

1 public interest, convenience, and necessity. As
2 such, he recommends that the commission approve the
3 5th Amendment to the interconnection agreement. Mr.
4 Omoniyi's conclusions are reflected in a verified
5 statement which was filed today. I have copies if
6 parties wish them. I would at this time move Mr.
7 Omoniyi's verified statement into evidence.

8
9 (WHEREUPON, the document was
10 marked Staff Exhibit 1 for
11 identification, as of 1/8/13.)

12
13 JUDGE BAKER: Any objection?

14 MR. HUTTENHOWER: No objection, your Honor.

15 JUDGE BAKER: Let the record reflect that Staff
16 Exhibit 1 has been entered into the record.

17
18 (WHEREUPON, the document marked
19 Staff Exhibit 1 for identification
20 was admitted into evidence.)

21
22 JUDGE BAKER: Is there anything further from

1 the parties?

2 MR. HARVEY: Nothing from staff, your Honor.

3 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Nothing from Illinois Bell.

4 JUDGE BAKER: Okay.

5 The record will be marked heard and
6 taken.

7

8 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22